## Increasing Diversity in your Department

## Speakers

$\square$ Best Hiring Practices: Addressing Unconscious Bias
Caroline Simpson, Florida International University
$\square$ Tools for Recruiting a Diverse Applicant Pool
Andrew A. West, Boston University
$\square$ Recruitment and Retention of LGBTIQ Astronomers
William Van Dyke Dixon, STSal
$\square$ Getting to Family-Friendly in Your Department
Catherine A. Pilachowski, Indiana University.

## Best Practices in Hiring

$\square$ Increasing Diversity in your Department
$\square$ Why should you care? (Other than the obvious)
$\square$ Increased Excellence
$\square$ Inclusive recruitment and hiring practices broaden the applicant pool, increasing opportunities to find talented people
$\square$ Information:

- University of Michigan ADVANCE program http://sitemaker.umich.edu/advance/home
$\square$ Dr. Abigail J Stewart, University of Michigan


## The Astronomy Workforce

Excellence has no gender or race or sexual orientation
Joan Schmelz, AAS 216, 2010

## Addressing Unconscious Bias

Schemas: Non-conscious Hypotheses
$\square$ Schemas (expectations or stereotypes) influence our judgments of others (regardless of our own group).
$\square$ All schemas influence group members' expectations about how they will be judged.
$\square$ We all have them


Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## Schemas: Non-conscious Hypotheses

$\square$ allow efficient, if sometimes inaccurate, processing of information.
$\square$ often conflict with consciously held or "explicit" attitudes.
$\square$ change based on experience/exposure.

## Schemas: Non-conscious Hypotheses

$\square$ Schemas are widely culturally shared
$\square$ Both men and women hold them about gender.
$\square$ Both whites and people of color hold them about race/ ethnicity.
$\square$ People are often not aware of them.


Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## When do Schemas Result in Unconscious Bias?

$\square$ Evaluation of Identical CVs: Gender
$\square$ When evaluating identical application packages, male and female University psychology professors preferred 2:1 to hire "Brian" over "Karen" as an assistant professor.
$\square$ When evaluating a more experienced record (at the point of promotion to
 tenure), reservations were expressed four times more often when the name was female.

## When do Schemas Result in Unconscious Bias?

## Hiring, Assessments, and Salaries: Mothers

$\square$ When evaluating identical applications:

- Evaluators rated mothers as less competent and committed to paid work than nonmothers.
- Prospective employers called mothers back about half as often as nonmothers.
$\square$ Mothers were less likely to be recommended
 for hire, promotion, and management, and were offered lower starting salaries than nonmothers.


## When do Schemas Result in Unconscious Bias?

## Hiring, Assessments, and Salaries: Fathers

$\square$ When evaluating identical applications:
$\square$ Fathers were not disadvantaged in the hiring process.
$\square$ Fathers were seen as more committed to paid work and offered higher starting salaries than nonfathers.


## Critical Mass

$\square$ Critical mass affects the use of schemas
$\square$ When there are many individuals, we differentiate among them and cannot rely on group-based schemas.
$\square$ In both experimental and field settings, increasing the female share of those being rated increased ratings of female applicants and employees.


Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

# If We Do Not Actively Intervene, The Cycle Reproduces Itself 

Lowered success rate

Accumulation of disadvantage

Performpras underestimated


Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## What Can We Do about Unconscious Bias?

$\square$ Awareness
$\square$ Policies
$\square$ Practices
$\square$ Accountability


Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## What Practices Matter?

$\square$ Recruitment of applicant pool

- Increase representation of low base-rate groups in pool
$\square$ How deliberations are completed
- Decrease ambiguity in criteria
- Increase/document knowledge of candidates
$\square$ Rely on evidence
$\square$ Avoid use of global judgments


## Recruitment of the Applicant Pool

$\square$ Recruit proactively year-round
$\square$ Recruit from wider range of institutions
$\square$ Recruit specifically for low base-rate groups
$\square$ Use of "open searches" (broad vs. narrow job definitions)


## Active Recruiting

$\square$ Widen the range of institutions from which you recruit.
$\square$ Consider candidates, including women and minorities, who may currently be thriving at less well-ranked institutions. They may be there because of:
$\square$ Early career decisions based on factors other than ranking of institution
$\square$ Past discrimination by top tier institutions

- Candidate's own internalization of schemas


## During Evaluation

$\square$ Focus on Multiple Specific Criteria during Evaluation
$\square$ Avoid "global" evaluations
$\square$ Specify evaluations of scholarly productivity, research funding, teaching ability, ability to be a conscientious departmental/university member, fit with the department's priorities.
$\square$ Weigh judgments that reflect examination of all materials and direct contact with the candidate.

## Candidate Evaluation Tool

Please indicate which of the following are true for you (check all that apply):

- Read candidate's CV
$\square \quad$ Read candidate's scholarship
- Read candidate's letters of recommendation
$\square$ Attended candidate's job talk
- Met with candidate
$\square \quad$ Attended lunch or dinner with candidate
- Other (please explain):

| Please rate the candidate on each of the following: | H | \% |  |  | 는 | \% |  | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential for (Evidence of) research productivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential for (Evidence of) research funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fit with department's priorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ability to make positive contribution to department's climate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential (Demonstrated ability) to attract and supervise graduate students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential (Demonstrated ability) to teach and supervise undergraduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential (Demonstrated ability) to be a conscientious university community member |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Letters of Recommendation

$\square$ Successful Medical School Faculty Applicants: Differences

## Letters for men:

$\square$ Longer

- More references to:
- CV
- Publications
- Patients
- Colleagues


## Letters for women :

- Shorter
- More references to personal life
- More "doubt raisers" (hedges, faint praise, and irrelevancies)
"It's amazing how much she's accomplished."
"It appears her health is stable."
"She is close to my wife."

Trix \& Psenka (2003) Discourse \& Society, Vol 14(2): 191-220.
Joan Schmelz, AAS 216, 2010

## Search Committee: Composition Matters

$\square$ Study of racial diversity in jury deliberations
Compared with all-white juries, diverse juries deliberating about an African American defendant:
$\square$ Took longer to discuss the case

- Mentioned more facts
- Made fewer inaccurate statements

L Left fewer inaccurate statements uncorrected
$\square$ Discussed more race-related issues
$\square$ Jury deliberations are analogous to faculty search deliberations
$\square$ Recommendation:
$\square$ Include people who are committed to diversity and excellence.

- Include women and minorities.

Sommers (2006) Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 90 (4), 597-61 2.
Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## Active Recruiting and Open Searches Can Help Increase Diversity



The difference achieved by one UMichigan department
Abigail J Stewart, WIA III, 2009

## Resources

$\square$ University of Michigan ADVANCE Program:

- http://sitemaker.umich.edu/advance/home
$\square$ Under Resources for Deans and Chairs: Toolkit - includes
$\square$ Handbook for Faculty Searches and Hiring
- Candidate Evaluation Tool
- Positive and Problematic Practices in Faculty Recruitment
$\square$ Frequently Asked Questions: Dual Career Issues
- Guidelines for Writing Letters of Recommendation
$\square$ How to Help New Faculty Settle In: Common Problems and Alternative Solutions
Giving and Getting Career Advice: A Guide for Junior and Senior Faculty + Questions to ask and answer guide
$\square$ Support to Department Chairs and Deans in Assessing Individual Salary Equity
- Frequently Asked Questions: Retention of Science and Engineering Faculty Who Are Women and/or Members of Racial/Ethnic Minorities
- Support for Assessment and Improvement of Departmental, School or College Climates
$\square$ Creating a Positive Departmental Climate: Principles for Best Practices

